

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/027,919	SEITA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edna Wong	1753	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Edna Wong. (3) _____.

(2) S. Matthew Cairns. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 October 2004

Time: 5:00 pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2, 11, 17 and 19

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The status identifiers for claims 2, 11, 17 and 19 are improper, and thus, the After Final Amendment is non-compliant. However, as indicated in the Revised Amendment Practice, the Examiner may correct the non-compliance to place the application in condition for allowance. The Examiner has corrected the imroper status identifiers by instruction in an Examiner's Amendment.